Appl. No. 09/687,558 Amdt. Dated July 22, 2004 Reply to Office action of January 2, 2004

ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Applicants would like to thank the examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action,

and amended to put the application into a condition for allowance.

Claims 2, 3, & 5 remain in this application, although the Examiner has not so

indicated in the Office action. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are herein canceled. Claims 2, 3, & 5 were

indicated as being allowed the Office action dated May 8, 2003. In a telephone conversation

with the Examiner on July 21, 2004, the Examiner indicted that claims 2, 3, & 5 are currently

allowable.

Claims 1, 4, & 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hansen et al. (U.S. 5,956,625) in view of Deguchi (U.S. 5,793,619). These claims have been

canceled, making the rejection moot.

In consideration of the foregoing analysis, it is respectfully submitted that the present

application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested,

because only allowed claims 2, 3, & 5 remain in this application. If it is determined that the

application is not in a condition for allowance, the examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

By

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same

to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 33045.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

Robert F. Bodi, Reg. No. 48,540

1801 East 9th Street, Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700 July 22, 2004

Best Available Copy

Page 4 of 5